



Combining multiple ledgers for better control Interledger approaches in IoT

Santeri Paavolainen Decentralized operation and security in the IoT Space workshop, 18.6.2020



IoT and blockchains Why Interledger with IoT? How Interledger?



Why IoT and blockchains?

- Let's just assume there's a reason
 - Data exchange (uni/bidirectional)
 - Control information
 - Payment











Thin client

Full node













Pros



- "IoT chain" more flexible

- Use-case specific tradeoffs
- Easier governance models
- Lower cost, better latency, privacy (GDPR!)
- Trust and security concerns often scoped
 - Interledger allows narrow focus on IoT BC

- Cross-ledger operations still young
 - No mature standards or widespread solutions

- Trust can be difficult

- Gateways can be opaque
- Federated gateways?
- Auditability, Trust & Verify





- Direct IoT
 blockchain integration difficult
- Interledger techniques can help bridge a trust gap
 - Plus additional benefits



References

- Interledger projects
 - Cosmos <u>https://cosmos.network/</u>
 - PolkaDot https://polkadot.network/
 - ILP <u>https://interledger.org/rfcs/0027-interledger-protocol-4/</u>
- Research
 - V. A. Siris, P. Nikander, S. Voulgaris, N. Fotiou, D. Lagutin, and G. C. Polyzos, "Interledger Approaches," IEEE Access, pp. 1–1, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2926880.
 - P. Nikander, J. Autiosalo, and S. Paavolainen, "Interledger for the Industrial Internet of Things," in 2019 IEEE 17th International Conference on Industrial Informatics (INDIN), Jul. 2019, vol. 1, pp. 908–915, doi: 10.1109/INDIN41052.2019.8972167.
 - S. Paavolainen and C. Carr, "Security Properties of Light Clients on the Ethereum Blockchain," IEEE Access, p. 20, 2020 (Accepted).

